State of California Regional Water Quality Control Board San Diego Region

> EXECUTIVE OFFICER SUMMARY REPORT April 10, 2002

ITEM: 11

SUBJECT: ADMINISTRATIVE ASSESSMENT OF CIVIL

LIABILITY CONTAINING A MANDATORY PENALTY AGAINST PROMENADE, INC. FOR VIOLATION OF ORDER NO. 96-41, NPDES NO. CAG919002, WASTE DISCHARGE REQUIREMENTS FOR A PERMANENT DEWATERING SYSTEM AT PACIFIC BEACH PROMENADE, 4150 MISSION BLVD., SAN DIEGO,

CA (TENTATIVE ORDER NO. R9-2002-0052) (Rebecca

Stewart)

PURPOSE: To received testimony from Promenade, Inc., Regional

Board staff and interested parties regarding the proposed mandatory penalties contained in tentative Order No. R9-

2002-0052 (Supporting Document No. 1).

PUBLIC NOTICE: A 30-day public notice regarding the scheduled public

hearing was published in the San Diego Union-Tribune on March 11, 2002. The written comment period will end on

April 9, 2002.

DISCUSSION: Promenade, Inc. operates a permanent dewatering system at

a two-story strip mall known as Pacific Beach Promenade. The discharge is regulated under general waste discharge requirements for dewatering operations. The general waste discharge requirements require Promenade, Inc. to submit monthly, quarterly, and semi-annual monitoring reports. The Regional Board acknowledges receipt of monitoring reports and identifies violations of monitored parameters in

the acknowledgement letters.

Complaint No. R9-2002-003 (Supporting Document No. 2) was issued to Promenade, Inc., Pacific Beach Promenade dewatering on February 11, 2002 pursuant to California Water Code Section 13385. Violations of total suspended solids, cyanide, pH, copper, zinc and chronic toxicity were noted in the discharger's monitoring reports submitted in accordance with Order No. 96-41. These violations

subsequently incurred mandatory penalties as described in Complaint No. R9-2002-003.

On February 28, 2002 Regional Board staff met with Promenade, Inc. representatives regarding the violations contained in Complaint No. R9-2002-003. The discharger discussed numerous defenses for all violations cited in the Complaint. The discharger was instructed to submit the rationale for their defense in writing for staff evaluation and comment. On March 26, 2002 a thirteen-page document (Supporting Document No. 3) was faxed to the Regional Board refuting the violations. Due to the late submission, staff has not completed our review and comments. Comments will be forwarded to the Regional Board in a subsequent mailing.

LEGAL CONCERNS: None.

SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS:

- (1) Tentative Order No. R9-2002-0052.
- (2) Complaint No. R9-2002-003.
- (3) Letter dated March 26, 2002 by Varco & Associates on behalf of Promenade, Inc.

RECOMMENDATION: A recommendation will be made after review of the

discharger's arguments.